

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,950	06/20/2003	Ronald Miles Johnson	9D-HL-20170	9485	
7590 11/02/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			STINSON, FRANKIE L		
One Metroplitan Sq., Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Louis, MO 63102			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commen	10/600,950	JOHNSON, RONALD MILES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
,		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	A parto quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and all all all all all all all all all al						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Pages No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
O Data de la Tanana de la Caración d	<u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,950

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Song (U. S. Pat. No. 6,003,182) in view of Quandt et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,439,019).

Re claims 1 and 6, Song discloses a washing machine comprising:

a tub (27);

a cold-water valve (22b) for controlling flow of cold water to said tub;

a hot water valve (22a) for controlling flow of hot water to said tub;

a first sensor (Ht) positioned to sense a full fill level in said tub and configured to generate a full fill signal when the tub is full;

a second sensor (Hc, Hw) positioned to sense an intermediate fill level, the intermediate fill level less than full and corresponding to an adjustment level (col. 2, line 42 thru col.3, line 65) in said tub, said second sensor configured to generate an intermediate fill signal when the intermediate fill level is reached; and

a controller (23) operatively coupled to said first and second sensors and said hot and cold water valves, said controller operable to control said valves based on the fill signals from said sensors to control a wash water temperature that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the sensor being a pressure sensor. The patent to Quandt is cited disclosing that it is old and well known to employ a pressure type level

Art Unit: 1746

sensor for measuring the height/volume of water in a washtub. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of modify the level sensor in Song, to be of the pressure type as taught by Quandt, since this is considered to be a substitution of equivalents. Re claim 2-5 and 7-10, to the have valves controlled as a function of various signals is deemed to be an obvious matter of design in view of the corresponding microcontroller in either Song or Quandt. It is understood that the microcontrollers are programmable to control various components of operating systems and the microcontrollers in Song and Quandt are capable of functions as claimed if programmed as such. Therefore, to have the controller program as specifically claimed is of no patentable weight. Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). " [A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). (emphasis in original). Re claim 11, Quandt discloses the independent sensors. Re claim 12, to have the sensors provided with multiple trips points is considered to be an obvious extension of the teachings of either Song or Quandt.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In DeSchaaf et al., Japan'386, Worst, Moon, Getz, Japan'684, Brooker et al., Japan'293, Korean'082and Korean'350, note the control means.

Art Unit: 1746

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,950

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746